



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 2]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जनवरी 13, 1968 (पौष 23, 1889)

No. 2]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JANUARY 13, 1968 (PAUSA 23, 1889)

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके  
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

## भाग III—खण्ड 4

### PART III—SECTION 4

विधिक निकायों द्वारा जारी की गई विभिन्न अधिसूचनाएं जिसमें अधिसूचनाएं, आदेश, विज्ञापन और सूचनाएं सम्मिलित हैं  
Miscellaneous Notifications including Notifications, Orders, Advertisements and Notices  
issued by Statutory Bodies

#### डाक व तार विभाग

#### डाक-तार महानिदेशक का कार्यालय

#### सूचना

नई दिल्ली, दिनांक 28 दिसम्बर 1967

सं० 25/63/67-एल० आई०—श्री माम चन्द्रा त्यागी की क्रमांक 48848-पी दिनांक 15-5-57 की 2,000 रुपए की डाक-जीवन बीमा पालिसी उनके संरक्षण से गुम हो गई है। यह सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त पालिसी का भुगतान रोक दिया गया है। उप-निदेशक, डाक-जीवन बीमा, कलकत्ता को बीमेदार के नाम पालिसी की दूसरी प्रति जारी करने के अधिकार दे दिए गए हैं। जनता को चेतावनी दी जाती है कि मूल पालिसी के सम्बन्ध में कोई लेन-देन न करे।

दिनांक 30 दिसम्बर 1967

सं० 25/26/67-एल० आई०—श्री जे० बी० मेथ्यूज की क्रमांक 3653-एम० दिनांक 2-11-50 की 2000/- रुपए की डाक-जीवन बीमा पालिसी उनके संरक्षण से गुम हो गई है। यह सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त पालिसी का भुगतान रोक दिया गया है। उपनिदेशक, डाक-जीवन बीमा, कलकत्ता को बीमेदार के नाम पालिसी की दूसरी प्रति जारी करने के अधिकार

दे दिए गए हैं। जनता को चेतावनी दी जाती है कि मूल पालिसी के सम्बन्ध में कोई लेन-देन न करे।

दिनांक 5 जनवरी 1968

सं० 25/65/67-एल० आई०—श्री पी० राजिन्द्र राओ की क्रमांक 95582-सी० दिनांक 27-6-63 की 1500/- रुपए की डाक-जीवन बीमा पालिसी उनके संरक्षण से गुम हो गई है। यह सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त पालिसी का भुगतान रोक दिया गया है। उपनिदेशक, डाक-जीवन बीमा, कलकत्ता को बीमेदार के नाम पालिसी की दूसरी प्रति जारी करने के अधिकार दे दिए गए हैं। जनता को चेतावनी दी जाती है कि मूल पालिसी के सम्बन्ध में कोई लेन-देन न करे।

सं० 25/66/67-एल० आई०—श्री कृष्ण देव कौल की क्रमांक 36605-पी० दिनांक 21-1-45 की 2000/- रुपए की डाक-जीवन बीमा पालिसी विभाग के संरक्षण से गुम हो गई है। यह सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त पालिसी का भुगतान रोक दिया गया है। उपनिदेशक, डाक-जीवन बीमा, कलकत्ता को बीमेदार के नाम पालिसी की दूसरी प्रति जारी करने के अधिकार दे दिए गए हैं। जनता को चेतावनी दी जाती है कि मूल पालिसी के सम्बन्ध में कोई लेन-देन न करे।

एस० के० घोष  
निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा तथा शिकायत)

**RESERVE BANK OF INDIA****Central Office**

Department of Banking Operation and Development

Bombay-1, the 4th January 1968

*DROD No. 94/C. 404-68.*—In pursuance of sub-section(2) of section 36A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, the Reserve Bank of India hereby notifies that the Perumbavur Bank (Private) Ltd., Perumbavur has ceased to be a banking company within the meaning of the said Act

The 5th January 1968

*DROD. No. 95/C. 404-68.*—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 36A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 the Reserve Bank of India hereby notifies that the Peelamedu Kattivatatharaja Bank Ltd., Coimbatore, has ceased to be a banking company within the meaning of the said Act

M. S. NADKARNI,  
Chief Officer

**STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE**

(SUBSIDIARY OF THE STATE BANK OF INDIA)

*Incorporated in India under Special Statute**The Liability of the Members is limited*

Trivandrum-1, the 3rd January 1968

**NOTICE**

The Eighth Annual General Meeting of the Shareholders of the State Bank of Travancore, will be held at the Bank's Head Office "Ana Cutcherry", Trivandrum on Monday the 12th February 1968 at 12.00 noon (Standard Time) for the transaction of the following business :—

To receive the Report of the Board of Directors, the Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account of the Bank made up to the 31st December 1967 and the Auditor's Report on the Balance sheet and Accounts.

S. K. NATHAN,  
General Manager

**STATE BANK OF INDORE**

(SUBSIDIARY OF THE STATE BANK OF INDIA)

*Incorporated in India under Special Statute**The Liability of the Members is limited*

Indore, the 4th January 1968

**NOTICE**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Seventh Annual General Meeting of the Shareholders of the State Bank of Indore will be held at the Head Office of the Bank, 2, Bombay-Agra Road, Indore on Friday the 16th February, 1968 at 12.00 Noon (Standard Time) to transact the following business :—

"To discuss the Balance sheet and Profit and Loss Account of the Bank made up to the 31st December, 1967, the Report of the Board of Directors on the working of the Bank for the same period and the Auditors' Report on the Balance Sheet and Accounts"

By Order of the Board of Directors,  
V. N. NADKARNI,  
General Manager.

**STATE BANK OF BIKANER AND JAIPUR**

(SUBSIDIARY OF THE STATE BANK OF INDIA)

*Incorporated in India under Special Statute**The Liability of the Members is limited*

Jaipur, the 6th January 1968

NOTICE is hereby given that the Seventh Annual General Meeting of the Shareholders of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur will be held at the Bank's Head Office, Sawar Mansingh Highway, Jaipur on Friday, the 9th February, 1968 at 12.00 Noon (Standard Time) to discuss the Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur for the year ended the 31st December, 1967, the report of the Directors on

the working of the Bank for the same period and the Auditor's report on the Balance Sheet and Accounts.

By Order of the Board,  
SATYA DEVI  
General Manager

**THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA**

New Delhi-1, the 21st December 1967

*No. 4-CA(1)/29/67-68.*—In pursuance of Regulation 16 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, it is hereby notified that in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 20 of the Chartered Accountants, Act, 1949, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, has removed from the Register of Members of this Institute, on account of death, with effect from the dates mentioned against their names, the names of the following gentlemen :—

S. No.	Membership No.	Name and Address	Date of Removal
1.	634	Shri U. Suryanarayananarao, Park Road, Vijayawada-1	8-12-1967
2.	2558	Shri Karansingh Motilal, Bhandari, Bhandari Mansion, 1st Floor, Opp. Municipal Park, Udaipur.	13-12-1967

The 27th December 1967

*No. 5-CA(1)/42/67-68.*—With reference to this Institute's Notification No. 4-CA(1)/11/67-68, dated the 11th July 1967 it is hereby notified in pursuance of Regulation 18 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, that in exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 17 of the said Regulations, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India has restored to the Register of Members, with effect from the 27th December, 1967, the name of Shri Dharam Vir Singh Yadav, A.C.A. 5226, N.E. Couch Street, Portland, Oregon 97213 U.S.A., (Membership No. 4810).

The 28th December 1967

*No. 5CA(1)/41/67-68.*—With reference to this Institute's Notifications Nos. 4-CA(1)/21/67-68, dated the 20th September 1967 and 4-CA(1)/24/67-68 dated the 7th October 1967 respectively, it is hereby notified in pursuance of Regulation 18 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, that in exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 17 of the Said Regulations, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, has restored to the Register of Members with effect from the dates mentioned against their names, the names of the following gentlemen :—

S. No., Membership No., Name, Address and Date of Restoration

1. 6424—Shri Thoppil Kurian John, A.C.A., 156, Railway Station Road, Alwaye-I. (Kerala State).—19-12-1967.
2. 7485—Shri Yeshwant Shantaram Apte, A.C.A., 24/380, Siddarth Nagar, Goregaon (West) Bombay-62 NB—23-12-1967

C. BALAKRISHNAN,  
Secretary

**THE INSTITUTE OF COST AND WORKS ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA**

(COST ACCOUNTANTS)

*No. 39-CWA(27)/67.*—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 39 of the Cost and Works Accountants Act, 1959, (Act No. 23 of 1959), the Council of the Institute of Cost and Works Accountants of India has made the following amendments in the Cost and Works Accountants Regulations, 1959, the same having been previously published and approved by the Central Government as required by sub-section (3) of the said Section :

## In the said Regulations:

I. In sub-regulation (2) of Regulation 44, for the words "an applicant for membership of the Institute shall be required to produce evidence to the satisfaction of the Council that he has acquired practical experience for a period not less than three years in a responsible position in any one or more industrial units covering different branches of Costing or Industrial Accounting, viz., Stores, Materials, Labour, Overhead, etc.", the following words shall be substituted, namely :

"an applicant for membership of the Institute shall be required to produce evidence to the satisfaction of the Council that he has acquired for a period of not less than three years practical experience covering different branches of Costing or Industrial Accounting, viz., Stores, Materials, Labour, Overhead, etc., in a responsible position in any one or more industrial or commercial or Government units or departments".

II. For the existing Regulation 56 the following new Regulation shall be substituted, namely :

"56. *List of Voters*.—At least three months before the date of an election, the Council shall publish—

- (a) a list of members of the Institute in each constituency eligible to vote showing clearly whether any particular member is an associate or a fellow, and shall send a copy thereof to each member of the Institute irrespective of whether he is a voter or not; and
- (b) a notice in the Journal of the Institute mentioning the number of members to be elected and calling for nominations of candidates for election before a specified date which shall not be less than fourteen days from the date of publication of the notice."

III. In sub-regulation (2) of Regulation 114, in sub-clause (c) thereof, for the words "at the rate of one member for every fortyfour such members of the Institute", the following words shall be substituted, namely :

"at the rate which shall bear the same proportion to twentyfive as the number of members in the region bears to the number of members in all the regions".

IV. The existing Chapter XII, its title "Students' Associations" and Regulations 146 to 174 shall be deleted.

V. In Form 'D' of the Schedule, for the existing paragraph 4 thereof, the following shall be substituted, namely:

"4. I undertake that as and when I cease to be in practice I shall duly inform the Council as required by the Cost and Works Accountants Regulations, 1959".

S. N. GHOSE  
Secretary

## EMPLOYEES' STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION

Hyderabad-22, the 5th December 1967

No. AP/CO-3(9)/67.—It is hereby notified that the existing name and address of a member of Local Committee, Adoni nominated under Regulation 10-A (1) (e) of the ESI (General) Regulation, 1950 have been amended.

The following shall be substituted in this office Notification No. AP/Estt.18(1)/57-II dated, 17-11-1964.

## Under Regulation 10-A(1)(e)

## READ

10. Shri S. Ramanna, General Secretary,  
Adoni Textiles Workers Union, ADONI.

## FOR

10. Shri Ramanna, General Secretary,  
Rayalaseema Mills, Aikya Karmika  
Sangham, Adoni.

A. V. SUBRAHMANYAN

Regional Director

## DEPARTMENT OF POSTS &amp; TELEGRAPHS

Office of the Accountant General of Posts & Telegraphs  
NOTICE

New Delhi-1, the 28th December 1967

No. 25/63/67-LI.—Postal Life Insurance LA 45 Policy No. 48848-P dated 15-5-51 for Rs. 2000/- held by Shri Mam Chandra Tyagi, having been lost from his custody notice is hereby given that the payment thereof has been stopped. The Deputy Director, P.L.I., Calcutta has been authorised to issue a duplicate policy in favour of the insurant. The Public are hereby cautioned against dealing with the original policy.

The 30th December 1967

No. 25/26/67-LI.—Postal Life Insurance EA/55 Policy No. 3653-M dated 2-11-50 for Rs. 2000/- held by Shri J. B. Mathews, having been lost from his custody notice is hereby given that the payment thereof has been stopped. The Deputy Director, P.L.I., Calcutta has been authorised to issue a duplicate policy in favour of the insurant. The Public are hereby cautioned against dealing with the original policy.

The 5th January 1968

No. 25/65/67-LI.—Postal Life Insurance EA/55 Policy No. 95582-C dated 27-6-63 for Rs. 1500/- held by Shri P. Rajendra Rao having been lost from his custody notice is hereby given that the payment thereof has been stopped. The Deputy Director, P.L.I., Calcutta has been authorised to issue a duplicate policy in favour of the insurant. The public are hereby cautioned against dealing with the original policy.

No. 25/66/67-LI.—Postal Life Insurance EA/50 Policy No. 36605-P dated 21-1-45 for Rs. 2000/- held by Shri Krishan Dev Kaul having been lost from the departmental custody, notice is hereby given that the payment thereof has been stopped. The Deputy Director, Postal Life Insurance Calcutta has been authorised to issue a duplicate policy in favour of the insurant. The Public are hereby cautioned against dealing with the original policy.

S. K. GHOSH,  
Director,  
PLI & Complaints

## PANJAB UNIVERSITY CHANDIGARH

## VIII

SENATE meeting held in the Senate Room, Punjab University Secretariat, Sector 14, Chandigarh, on Sunday, December 10, 1967, at 11 a.m.

## MINUTES

## PRESENT :—

1. Shri Suraj Bhan, Vice-Chancellor (In the Chair),
2. Shri A. N. Sharma,

3. Shri Ajaib Singh,
4. Prof. Abdul Majid Khan,
5. Dr. B. R. Chauhan,
6. Dr. B. Ghosh,
7. Shri Bhan Singh Bhaura,
8. Principal B. S. Bahl,
9. Principal B. L. Kapur,
10. Shri Brish Bhan,
11. Principal Bishan Singh Samundri,
12. Shri Chand Ram,
13. Principal C. L. Arora,
14. Shri Dewan Singh Dhinsa,
15. Dr. D. N. Shukla,
16. Prof. D. C. Sharma,
17. Shri D. I. Lall,
18. Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill,
19. Principal Gursewak Singh,
20. Dr. Goverdhan Lal Bakhshi,
21. Shri Gurdial Singh Dhillon,
22. Shri Gurbachan Singh Bajwa,
23. Dr. G. P. Sharma,
24. Shri C. L. Chopra,
25. Prof. Gurdev Singh,
26. Principal Harnam Singh,
27. Shri Harbhajan Singh, M.L.A.
28. Shri Harbhajan Singh,
29. Shri Hari Ram,
30. Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi,
31. Shri J. C. Sharma,
32. Shri Jiwan Lal Tiwari,
33. Principal Jagjit Singh,
34. Miss K. Pasricha,
35. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura,
36. Shri K. L. Sharma,
37. Kumari Lajja Vati,
38. Dr. L. S. Negi,
39. Shri Manohar Lal Anand,
40. Principal Mohan Singh,
41. Shri Mahna Singh,
42. Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan,
43. Principal Narain Dass Grover,
44. Principal O. P. Mohan,
45. Principal O. P. Dogra,
46. Principal O. P. Sharma,
47. Principal Pritam Singh,
48. Principal P. C. Joshi,
49. Shri Pyaro Lal Beri,
50. Principal P. L. Anand,
51. Shri P. L. Sondhi,
52. Shri Parshotam Loomba,
53. Dr. P. N. Mehara,
54. Principal Pradeep Kumar,
55. Dr. P. S. Gill,
56. Dr. P. N. Chhuttani,
57. Shri Ram Chander Javed,
58. Mrs. R. L. Sethi,
59. Shri R. N. Safaya,
60. Principal Ram Singh Sachdev,
61. Shri Ram Chandra,
62. Dr. Ram Chand Paul,
63. Dr. Sher Singh Gyani,
64. Dr. Sansar Chandra,

65. Shri S. S. Gill,
66. Shri S. L. Dhall,
67. Ch. Suraj Mal,
68. Dr. Santokh Singh Anand,
69. Principal S. D. Vashisht,
70. Shri Teja Singh,
71. Shri Tek Chand,
72. Principal Tara Singh,
73. Shri Tapinder Singh,
74. Dr. Vidya Sagar Puri,
75. Miss Vidya Anand,
76. Miss V. G. Bhan,
77. Shri Virendra,
78. Dr. Yudhveer Sachdeva,
79. S. Sujan Singh, Registrar.

(i) Before the agenda was taken up, Dr. K. L. Jaura said that at the last meeting of Senate it was suggested that in every meeting of the Senate the minutes of the last meeting should be confirmed. The Vice-Chancellor had stated that, as required by regulations, minutes were sent to the members within a month of the meeting and if any member had any objection to any part of the minutes, he should write to the Registrar. Dr. Jaura drew attention to the proviso which had been added to the item regarding revision of pay-scales of University staff, without having been considered by the Syndicate. A letter in this connection was written to the Registrar. He wanted to know the action taken on that letter.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that the proviso in question was approved by the Senate unanimously and Dr. K. L. Jaura was himself present at that meeting. He had, however, received a letter from some members questioning the constitutional position in regard to the addition of the proviso at the Senate meeting, and he was obtaining legal opinion on the point raised by them. If the legal opinion went against the decision of Senate to add the proviso, the matter would be brought up before the Syndicate, and even the Senate.

Dr. K. L. Jaura suggested that till then the proviso should not be implemented.

Shri K. L. Sharma remarked that it should not take more than two or three days to obtain the legal opinion. If, however, it was going to take a long time, the proviso in question should not be implemented.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that he was looking into the matter and would try to obtain the legal opinion as expeditiously as possible. If, according to the legal opinion, the Senate was within its rights to add the proviso, there was no question of its being not implemented. This was agreed to.

(ii) Shri Teja Singh drew attention to sub-section (5) of Section 13 of the Punjab University Act, according to which no person elected in his capacity as a member of any of the categories enumerated in Sub-Section (1) shall continue to hold his office after he had ceased to possess the requisite qualification, and said that since Shri O. P. Sharma had been promoted as Principal, he ceased to represent the Constituency from which he was elected and therefore he was not entitled to attend the Senate meeting.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that the Syndicate considered the question of continuance of the membership of the Senate of Prof. O. P. Sharma and Principal S. S. Gill and decided the matter on the basis of the basis of the information available from the Education

Department. The decision of the Syndicate was circulated to the members of the Senate and if anybody had wanted to question it he could have pointed it out. It was more than a month ago that the Syndicate took the decision about Principal S. S. Gill and principal O. P. Sharma. There was also the case of Principal Gursewak Singh in regard to which decision was taken much earlier. As far as he remembered, all the decisions were unanimous, except that in regard to Principal O. P. Sharma one member had his dissent recorded. The matter was considered by the Syndicate properly and if any member had any objection, he should have intimated it immediately on receipt of the minutes of the Syndicate. The Vice-Chancellor ruled that any discussion of the matter was out of order.

I. The Registrar read out item No. 1 on the agenda, viz.—

1. To elect (by majority vote), two Fellows as members of Board of Finance for the next term (February 1, 1968 to January 31, 1969), under Regulation 26 at page 184 of the Calendar, Volume I.

NOTE:—1. Members of Syndicate are not eligible.

2. The following names have been proposed and seconded :—

1. Shri C. L. Arora, Principal, D.A.V. College, Amritsar.
2. Dr. Gurdev Singh, Professor and Head of the Department of Geography, Punjab University, Chandigarh-14.
3. Shri Gurbax Singh Shergill, Principal, Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Chandigarh.
4. Shri Kundan Lal Jaura, M.Sc., Ph.D., Reader Department of Chemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh.
5. Shri Parshotam Loomba, M.A., M.Ed., D.L.Sc., Headmaster, Government High School, Sanaur (Patiala).
6. Shri Ram Chander Javed, M.A., B.T., Principal, N.D. Victor Higher Secondary School, Jullundur Cantt.
7. Shri Sansar Chandra, M.A., Ph.D., Reader in Hindi, Department of Hindi, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Shri Parshotam Loomba, Shri Ram Chander Javed and Shri Sansar Chandra withdrew from the election.

The Vice-Chancellor appointed the following two sets of Tellers :—

- (a) Dr. R. C. Paul, and  
Principal Jagjit Singh,
- (b) Shri Parshotam Loomba and  
Shri Teja Singh.

The votes having been cast and counted, the following were declared duly elected as members of the Board of Finance for the term 1-2-1968 to 31-1-1969, under Regulation 26 at page 184 of the Calendar Volume I—

1. Principal C. L. Arora,  
D.A.V. College, Amritsar.
2. Prof. Gurdev Singh,  
Head of the Deptt. of Geography,  
Panjab University, Chandigarh-14.

II. The Registrar read out item No. 2 on the agenda, viz.—

2. To elect five fellows (by single transferable vote) as members of Academic Council, for the term Febru-

ary 1, 1968 to January 31, 1970, under Regulation 1 (g) at page 64 of the Calendar, Volume I.

NOTE:—The following names have been proposed and seconded:

1. Shri Ajaib Singh, B.A., B.T., Headmaster, Balbir Government High School, Faridkot.
2. Shri A. N. Sharma, M.Sc. (Chemistry), Senior Lecturer, D.A.V. College, Jullundur.
3. Shri Gurbax Singh Shergill, M.A., (Eco.), Principal, Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Chandigarh.
4. Shri Harbhajan Singh, M.A., Vice-principal, Lyallpur Khalsa College, Jullundur.
5. Shri Jagjit Singh, M.A., (Math.), Saleti, Model Town Road, Jullundur City.
6. Shri Narain Dass Grover, Principal, D.A.V. College, Abohar.
7. Shmt. R. L. Sethi, M.A., B.T., Principal, D.A.V. College of Education for Women, Amritsar.
8. Shri R. N. Safaya, M.A., Ph.D., Professor of Education, Government Training College, Jullundur.
9. Shri Sansar Chandra, M.A., Ph.D., Reader in Hindi, Panjab University, Chandigarh.
10. Shri Tek Chand, M.A. (Hindi & Sanskrit), Shastri, M.O.L., Head of Sanskrit Department, Doaba College, Jullundur City.

Shri Gurbax Singh Shergill, Shri Harbhajan Singh, Shri Jagjit Singh and Shri Sansar Chandra withdrew from the election.

The Vice-Chancellor appointed Principal Ram Singh Sachdev and Principal Pradeep Kumar as Tellers. The votes having been cast and counted, the following were declared duly elected as members of Academic Council, for the term 1-2-1968 to 31-1-1970, under Regulation 1 (g) at page 64 of the Calendar, Volume I :—

1. Shri Ajaib Singh B.A., B.T., Headmaster, Balbir Govt. High School, Faridkot.
2. Shri A. N. Sharma, M.Sc. (Chemistry) Senior Lecturer, D.A.V. College, Jullundur.
3. Shri Narain Dass Grover, Principal, D.A.V. College, Abohar,
4. Shri R. N. Safaya, M.A., Ph.D., Professor of Education, Govt. Training College, Jullundur.
5. Shri Tek Chand, M.A. (Hindi & Sanskrit), Shastri, M.O.L., Head of Sanskrit Department, Doaba College, Jullundur City.

III. The Registrar read out item No. 3 on the agenda, viz.—

3. To elect a member (by single Transferable vote) on the School Board from among the Heads of permanently recognised Higher Secondary Schools for the remaining term i.e., up to March 31, 1968, vice, Shri Hans Swarup, (resigned).

NOTE.—The following name has been proposed and seconded :

Shri Har Nand Rai, M.A., B.T.,  
Principal, Vaish Higher Secondary School,  
Rohtak.

Since there was no other candidate, Shri Har Nand Rai, M.A., B.T., Principal, Vaish Higher Secondary School, Rohtak, was declared elected as a member of the School Board from among the Heads of permanently recognised Higher Secondary Schools, for the remaining term, i.e. upto March 31, 1968, *vice* Shri Hans Swarup (resigned).

IV. Item Nos. 4 and 5 on the agenda were read out, and noted, i.e.—

4. Changes in syllabus and courses of readings, Statement A (Appendix I).

5. Grant of leave and officiating arrangements, Statement B (Appendix II).

V. Item No. 6 on the agenda was read out, and unanimously approved, i.e.—

6. Grant of extension of affiliation to certain colleges, Statement C (Appendix III).

VI. The Registrar read out item No. 7 on the agenda, viz.—

7. That the following recommendation of the committee appointed by the Senate in consequence of the resolution of Shri Brish Bhan, for eradicating all types of corruption from the University, be approved with the addition of a proviso that a person who wrote a book at the instance of the University and did not receive any royalty for the same shall not be debarred from seeking election to the various bodies of the University.

"A person shall not be eligible to seek election to the Senate, Syndicate or a Faculty or a Board of Studies, and, if he is already a member of any of these bodies he shall cease to hold his respective office—

- (a) if he is shown as author, co-author or collaborator of a book prescribed or recommended for a University examination, whether or not, he has in fact contributed to the writing of the book; or
- (b) if he is found, after a proper enquiry, to be the writer of such a book, though his name does not appear as an author, co-author or collaborator; or
- (c) if he has written or lent his name to a foreword or an introduction to such a book".

(Para 38, 28-10-1967)

NOTE—1. While approving previous recommendations of the committee, Senate referred Principal B. L. Kapur's suggestion to the chairman of the committee, Shri Tek Chand. The above recommendation is in consequence of that decision.

2. Consideration of the matter was postponed by the Senate on 4-11-1967.

Shri R. N. Safaya said that writing of books was a privilege of the teachers. He had no objection to the acceptance of the recommendation in regard to "prescribed" books, but he moved that the word "recommended" be deleted from clause (a). Recommended books, he said, were largely for post-graduate and professional courses. If the "recommended" books were also included as recommended by Syndicate, most of the teachers, who were members of Senate, would be debarred.

Dr. B. R. Chauhan said that, in consequence of the proposed provision, persons who had talent to write books shall not be eligible to seek election to the Syndicate, Senate and other bodies. It would reduce the percentage of persons with talent and academic ideas on these bodies. This, he said, would be quite improper because these bodies mostly had to take decisions on academic matters. He particularly objected to clause (c) which went too far. On the one hand, teachers were expected to make some contributions to academic work in the form of research etc., and on the other hand, a provision had been proposed which would operate against the teachers seeking election to any of these bodies. If the number of teachers on University bodies was reduced, such bodies would turn into battlefields with people of political talent.

Shri K. L. Sharma said that a man who wrote a book should have the courage to say so, unless he had an ulterior motive of making money. The fact that he did not want to come out openly to declare that he was the author of the book, was a sufficient proof of the intentions. He agreed that clause (c) should be removed as with such a provision even the Vice-Chancellor would find it difficult to write a few lines as a foreword or introduction to a book, even if he comes across a work of high merit. It appeared to him that people believed in concealing more and revealing less. The University should not have books which were produced only for the sake of money. He strongly supported acceptance of the first two clauses, but was opposed to clause (c).

Prof. D. C. Sharma said that a ban had already been placed on the teachers of this University from writing guide books, help books and cheap notes, etc. Such a ban existed only in this University. Now, it had been suggested that more restraint be placed on the teachers. This amounted to strangulation of intellectual life. What was a teacher if he could not be author of a book? Referring to clause (b), Prof. Sharma asked if the University was going to have a Department of Criminal Investigation. Such a measure would be highly derogatory to the University and to the self-respect of its teachers. Writers of books of English did sometimes collaborate with others. He did not know of any other University in India which had placed such bans. In his opinion, the steps already taken were sufficient, and further curbs on writing of books by teachers should not be approved. He was opposed to the whole of the resolution, particularly clauses (b) and (c).

Shri G. L. Chopra said that Prof. D. C. Sharma was a member of the committee which made these recommendations. He was unable to understand why a member of the committee should now oppose them. Most of the teachers wrote books to make money. The proposals did not place any ban on a teacher writing books. The proposed ban was only on his election to bodies which recommended books so that he should not be able to influence selection of his books. The Committee was appointed to suggest means to eradicate corruption and they had done good work. Regarding clause (b), Shri Chopra said that if a member who wrote a book gave somebody else's name on it, he was certainly not entitled to be a member of the University bodies. All the recommendations were valid and should be approved.

Principal Gursewak Singh said that these recommendations were routed through the Syndicate and were in pursuance of the previous decisions on the resolution of

Shri Brish Bhan. Prof. D. C. Sharma, he said, was a member not only of the committee which had suggested these measures, but also of the Syndicate which had endorsed the committee's recommendations. No ban was being imposed on writing books. The previous decisions would not be complete without the measures now recommended. He supported clauses (a) and (b) but did not consider clause (c) necessary.

Shri G. S. Dhillon said that Prof. D. C. Sharma was a member of the committee which made these recommendations. He himself was also a member of the committee and so he knew that the recommendations before the house were true reflections of the Committee's deliberations to which no dissent was made. He proposed that all the recommendations should be approved.

Principal O. P. Mohan said that he had a feeling that Prof. D. C. Sharma had completely misunderstood the aim of the proposals. The proposed ban was not on writing of books, but on canvassing for their selection. When a person wrote a book, he would naturally get royalty on it and if he was a member of a University body, he could certainly use his position to get his book selected. An author, he said, had equal interest as the publisher and would be keen to have as many copies of his book sold as possible. Another pernicious thing was that an author who was a member of a University body would see that the syllabus is not changed as long as his book continued. The teachers who wrote good books could certainly do so and there was no ban on them, but why should they be members of University bodies? The house should accept the proposals of Syndicate unanimously.

Principal P. L. Anand said that he was shocked that the suggestions should have come from a body of experts some of whom were members of legislatures and Judges of the High Court. In his opinion, this resolution was *ultra vires* of the Act by which this University was governed. How could the Senate control elections of its members some of whom were elected by Registered Graduates, Legislatures, etc.? Only a few days back, one of the Heads of the Departments of the University was asked to write a book. The recommendations, if accepted, would mean that even an ex-officio member of a Board of Studies could not continue. Almost all the Heads of University Departments were authors of distinction. However, the part which applied to 'ghost' writers was correct and should be approved.

Shri Chand Ram supported the proposals of the Syndicate. Referring to Shri P. L. Anand's remarks regarding the University asking its teachers to write books, Shri Chand Ram said that such writers were not included in the proposed ban.

Shri Brish Bhan said that this resolution was moved about two years back for eradicating all types of corruption from the University. Even now there was an air of corruption. The committee held several meetings and the whole matter was thoroughly deliberated upon. Efforts were made to get suggestions from all possible sources. When corruption entered academic sphere, it became worse. He was sure that the house would accept the recommendations of the Syndicate.

Shri G. L. Chopra, referring to the constitutional point raised by Principal P. L. Anand, said that the procedure laid down for making of regulations would be followed in this case also. As far as the Syndicate and other bodies were concerned, the Senate could make a regulation and it will take the form of law when it was sanctioned by the Government. Regarding membership of the Senate, there was no doubt that amendment of the Panjab University Act was necessary, but Senate could certainly make a recommendation.

Shri Ram Chandra was glad that attempts were being made to plug the loopholes. There were two important

matters in the University in which corruption was possible, appointment of examiners and selection of books. He suggested that the words "prescribed or recommended for a University Examination" in clause (a) be substituted by "prescribed or recommended for any examination of the University".

Shri Tapinder Singh fully endorsed the proposals of Syndicate all of which, he said, should be approved. He, however, suggested that the words "for a consideration" be added in clause (c).

The Vice-Chancellor, closing further discussion, said that the matter had been discussed for about an hour and good many speakers had taken part and made valuable contributions to the debate on the subject. It was known to the members of the house that the committee had done very valuable work and some of its recommendations had already been accepted by the Senate. All the members were in favour of the spirit which motivated the resolution under discussion and everyone was anxious to see that corruption of all types was eradicated from the functioning of the University. After hearing the various speakers, the Vice-Chancellor felt convinced that the house was not for acceptance of the recommendations *in toto*. Some members had suggested certain amendments. A day earlier, he had received a letter from the Chairman of the Panjab University Teachers' Association. Dr. K. K. Nanda, and some other senior teachers of the University had also spoken to him. He had written to say that this matter and a few others which vitally affected the teachers of the University should not be rushed through without giving them an opportunity to place their point of view before him. Personally, he was not opposed to the recommendations of the Syndicate but, in view of what had been said in the house and in deference to the wishes of the Panjab University Teachers' Association conveyed to him through their President, he felt that in case the house so agreed, the matter be referred back to the Syndicate for fuller consideration in the light of all the observations made by various members. This would also allow opportunity to certain members who had been unable to speak to place their viewpoint before the Syndicate. In the meantime, the Vice-Chancellor would discuss with the University teachers the points raised by them.

At this stage Shri G. L. Chopra intervened to suggest that Shri B. I. Kapur, on consideration of whose suggestion the Tek Chand Committee had made this recommendation, be given an opportunity to express his views. The Vice-Chancellor stated in reply that since he had already suggested to the house to refer the entire issue back to Syndicate for a fuller consideration, Shri B. I. Kapur would have ample opportunity to express his views in the Syndicate, as also at the next meeting of the Senate, before a final decision was taken. If, however, the suggestion was not accepted by the house, he would gladly permit Shri B. I. Kapur to express his views at this very declared carried :

Shri K. L. Sharma asked that the suggestion for referring the matter back for fuller consideration be put to vote.

The suggestion for the matter being referred back to Syndicate for fuller consideration was put to vote and declared carried :

31 members voted in favour and 24 against it.

VII. Item No. 8 on the agenda was read out, and unanimously approved, i.e.:-

8 That, under Regulation 1 at page 123 of the Cal., Vol. I, the names of all persons who qualify for the various degrees of the University after passing the examinations the results of which (i) were declared from 28-10-1967 to 26-11-1967 and (ii) will be declared from 27-11-1967 to 5-12-1967, be approved.

(Para 1, 27-11-67)

VIII. Item No. 9 on the agenda was read out, viz.—

9. That a sum of Rs. 9,000 lying unutilised so far under the head "News Letters" be re-appropriated for the project "Publication of History of Panjab University from 1947 to 1967".

(Para 3, 27-11-1967)

Principal O. P. Dogra said that writing of the History of Panjab University was not very important. He was of the opinion that the money should be utilised for some useful work.

Principal Gurbux Singh Shergill supported the suggestion of Principal O. P. Dogra.

Prof. D. C. Sharma said that he was sorry that some persons should have objected to the history of the University being written. Ours was perhaps the only refugee University in the whole of India and it was really worthwhile to know how it had grown and how it had been built during the last two decades. This was a story which any academic person would like to read. This period, in fact, was the heroic age of the construction of the University. In other countries, people took pleasure in celebrating the 10 years, 20 years and 25 years of the founding of a University. The Panjab University really had a story behind it—how it came from Lahore without any record, result gazettes, and library etc., and how the meetings of various bodies were held quite for some years at Simla, Ambala, Jullundur, etc., and how it had been functioning for over 10 years without a home. He felt that the building up of this University was a heroic tale. He proposed that the recommendation of Syndicate be accepted.

On being put to vote, the recommendation of Syndicate was approved—29 votes for it and only 2 against—and the Vice-Chancellor was requested to appoint a committee to supervise compilation of history of the Panjab University.

IX. Items Nos. 10 to 18 on the agenda were read out, and unanimously approved, i.e.—

*Award of Ph.D. degrees*

10. That the following persons be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty noted against their names :

Sl. No.	Name	& Faculty
1.	Promila Vatsyayan—Languages.	
2.	Ved Ram Sharma—Languages.	
3.	Lakshmi Narain Gupta—Science and Mathematics.	
4.	Amrik Lal Khurana—Science and Mathematics.	
5.	Mohinder Paul Kohli—Languages.	
6.	Kamaljit Singh Bawa—Science and Mathematics.	

(Paras 5, 6, 7, 43, 44 and 45, 27-11-67)

*Appointments*

11. That appointments of the following persons in the posts, and pay-scales, etc., noted against their names, be approved :

Person recommended for appointment	Post	Pay-scale	Salary per month	Remarks
1. Shri K. C. Mulhotra, Junior M.A. Lecturer in Urdu		Rs. 350-20-450-25-600	..	..
Waiting List— Shri Ganga Dhar Tasneem, M.A., M.O.L.				
2. Shri Hira Lal Mahendru	Junior Lecturer in German	Rs. 350-20-450-25-600	..	..
Waiting List— Shri Mohit Kumar Julka.				

(Paras 8 and 9, 27-11-67)

12. (i) That the appointment of Dr. B. R. Chauhan as part-time Lecturer in German be extended from 3-8-1967 for the current academic year on 12-month basis.

(ii) That the allowance of Dr. B. R. Chauhan be raised from Rs. 200 to Rs. 300 per month with effect from the date to be fixed by the Vice-Chancellor, provided he taught eight periods per week.

(Para 11, 27-11-1967)

*Confirmation*

13. That for purposes of confirmation, the following persons be given benefit of temporary service put in by them and they be confirmed in their posts with effect from the date indicated :

1. Dr. G. C. Mohapatra, Lecturer, Department of Ancient Indian History and Culture—22-7-1967.
2. Dr. S. K. Baveja, Reader, Pharmacy Department—6-11-1967.

(Paras 12 and 13, 27-11-1967)

14. That the following persons be confirmed in their posts with effect from the date indicated :

1. Shri Sudhakar Pandey, Curator, Ancient Indian History and Culture Department—11-10-1967.
2. Dr. V. B. Bhanot, Professor of Physics—13-11-1967.
3. Shri L. R. Sharma, Lecturer in Economics, Regional Centre for Post-Graduate Studies, Simla (against a vacant post in the Economics Department)—17-10-1967.

(Paras 14, 50 and 51, 27-11-1967)

15. That a post of a Clerk (typist) be sanctioned for supplying certified copies of documents to disqualified candidates on payment of the prescribed fee.

(Para 23, 27-11-1967)

16. That proposed amendments and additions of the following regulations be approved.

(Para 25, 27-11-1967)

1. Amendment of Regulation 8 for Admission to examinations' at page 116 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1967.
2. Amendment of Regulations 4 for Pre-Medical, 4 for Pre-Engineering and 10 for B.A./B.Sc. (T.D.C.) examinations, at pages 31, 37 and 71 of the Calendar Volume II, 1967.
3. Amendment of Regulation 15 for M.A. examination at page 99 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1967.
  - (4) Addition of Regulation 13.1
  - (2) Addition of Regulation 5.1;
  - (3) Amendment of Regulation 6 and 12;
  - (4) Addition of Regulation 13.1 for B. Pharmacy examination at pages 445—448;
  - (5) Amendment of Regulation 6 for M. Pharmacy examination at pages 451-452;
  - (6) Amendment of Regulation 16 for Diploma in Pharmacy and Dressers' Course (final) examination, at page 459;
- of the Calendar, Volume II, 1967.
5. Addition of clauses (D) and (E) to Regulation 3 relating to 'Admission to examinations' at page 115 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1967.
6. Deletion of Regulations 14, 23 and 32, for 1st, 2nd and 3rd; and amendment of Regulations 15,

24, 33, 42 and 43 for B.Sc. Agriculture examinations at pages 179, 181, 183 and 185 of the Calendar, Vol. II, 1967.

7. Amendment of Regulation 2(b) for B.Ed. examination (Revised Regulations) at page 266 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1967.

17. That as the following colleges had complied with the conditions imposed, provisional affiliation already granted for M.A. English Part I, from 1967, be confirmed :

1. D.A.V. College, Amritsar.

2. Khalsa College, Amritsar.

(Para 35, 27-11-1967)

18. That Shri Tapinder Singh, a Fellow of the University, be assigned to the Faculty of Design and Fine Arts also under Regulation 3, at page 43 of the Calendar, Volume I.

(Para 46, 27-11-1967)

X. Item No. 19 on the agenda was read out, viz.—

19. That the resignation of Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi be accepted with effect from the date to be fixed by the Vice-Chancellor.

(Para 52, 27-11-1967)

Prof. D. C. Sharma said that Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi was a scholar of all-India repute and he was well-known even outside India. Dr. Dwivedi was a great writer of Hindi and his name would figure always as long as Hindi literature existed. He was also an eloquent speaker. The Tagore Chair of Literature at the Panjab University which Dr. Dwivedi was occupying had a special significance in the academic history of India. Professor Sharma requested the Vice-Chancellor to once again persuade Dr. Dwivedi to continue to work at the Panjab University till his retirement.

Shri Gurdial Dhillon said that the matter was discussed at length by Syndicate. The Vice-Chancellor had already

tried to persuade Dr. Dwivedi but he was keen to go for his own reasons.

Shri M. L. Anand said that Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi was great as a man, as a sage and as a philosopher. It would have been a great pleasure to all the members if Dr. Dwivedi could continue to work at the Panjab University, but now that he wanted to go, the Panjab University should appreciate his services and give him a hearty send-off. Dr. Dwivedi was going to take up constructive work at Banaras which might be more useful for the society and for the nation at large. He wished him well in his new endeavour.

Prof. B. Ghosh endorsed the sentiments expressed by Prof. D. C. Sharma and said that efforts be made to retain Dr. Dwivedi and all facilities of research work at Banaras be provided here.

The Vice-Chancellor endorsed the sentiments expressed by the members about Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi who was an asset to the Panjab University having done great credit to the job he had handled here. The Vice-Chancellor had tried to persuade him to stay on, but since he had received an offer from the Banaras Hindu University where he had worked for many years before, and Banaras was also his native place, he insisted that he be allowed to go. The Vice-Chancellor said that he would certainly convey to Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi the feelings of this house, but in case he was not agreeable, the recommendation of the Syndicate be accepted.

Dr. B. Ghosh suggested that Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi be made Professor Emeritus of this University.

Shri M. L. Anand seconded the suggestion of Dr. B. Ghosh.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would consider the suggestion.

RESOLVED : (i) That the Senate placed on record its deep appreciation of the highly meritorious services rendered by Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi to this University.

(ii) That the recommendation of the Syndicate be approved.

XI. Item No. 20 on the agenda was read out, and unanimously approved, i.e.—

20. That the following articles of the Department of Physics, which had become unserviceable, be written off :

Sl. No	Name of the Article	PHS. No.	Quantity	Price per item in Rs.	Total Price in Rs.	Remarks
1.	Sodium Lamp	..	1	81.40	81.40	Broken
2.	Mercury Lamp	..	1	54.00	54.00	Broken
3.	Biprism	..	1	65.00	65.00	Broken
4.	Photo cell	1	2	70.00 each	140.00	Broken
5.	Tungor Rectifier	..	2	56.00 each	112.00	Fused
6.	Sodium Lamp	..	4	82.25(1)	82.25	Fused
				75.00(3)	225.00	Fused
7.	Mercury Lamp	..	3	65.87(1)	65.87	Fused
				80.00(2)	160.00	Fused
8.	G. M. Counter	..	36	100.00(29)	2900.00	Fused
				80.00(1)	80.00	Fused
				75.00(6)	450.00	Fused

(Para 55, 27-11-1967)

XII. Item No. 21 on the agenda was read out, viz.—

21. To consider the following resolution to be moved by Shri Teja Singh :—

“Resolved that a committee of the representative of the Finance board and teachers be appointed to revise the rates of the remuneration for the various University works. This committee will submit its report before the Senate in its Budget meeting to be held in March, 1968.”

NOTE :—The Syndicate considered the matter on 27-11-1967 (Para 56) and were of the view that as with the proposal of setting up of Secondary Education Boards, the entire examination structure in the Panjab University would need to be reviewed, the appointment of a committee of the kind suggested by Shri Teja Singh would be inopportune.

Shri Teja Singh, moving the resolution, said that in recent years the cost of living and the cost of labour had gone up but the rate of remuneration for examiners which was fixed 20 years ago, had remained unchanged. Recently, the rent of the building occupied by the Evening College at Jullundur had been increased by Rs. 100, the grant of the Sports Committee had been increased from Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 20,000, the remuneration of the members of the unfair means cases committee had been increased from Rs. 2,000 to Rs. 3,000, and the remuneration for lectures delivered to law classes had also been raised. It would be quite appropriate if the remuneration of examiners was also raised by at least 25 per cent. The total expenditure would be in the neighbourhood of Rs. 5 or 6 lakhs. The observations made by Syndicate, he said, were not relevant to his proposal.

Shri M. L. Anand said that the Vice-Chancellor had given an assurance to the representatives of the teachers who met him in January, 1967, that he would set up a committee to go into the question of revision of rate of remuneration of examinations. There was no harm if a committee was appointed to go into the matter. It would naturally look into all the aspects including the position in regard to setting up of Boards of Secondary Education. He, therefore, strongly supported the resolution of Shri Teja Singh.

The Vice-Chancellor furnishing the background of observations made by Syndicate said that the setting up of separate Secondary Education Boards was no more an academic issue and it was now being actively considered. About two weeks ago, the Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, convened a meeting of the Education Secretaries of Panjab, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh and Chandigarh and representatives of the Govt. of India, Ministry of Education, as also of this University were invited. It was decided that if the States were prepared to compensate the Panjab University for the loss which it would suffer, the proposed Boards shall conduct the examinations from 1969 or at the latest from 1970. He expected to hear from the States in the near future. Recently, the University had sanctioned Dearness Allowance to its employees at Central Govt. rates which meant at expenditure of about Rs. 15 lakhs. Other steps had also been taken to ameliorate the lot of clerks and assistants of the University and to improve the system of medical aid, and this had meant an expenditure of about Rs. 8 lakhs. The Senate which had to run the University must know that such measures could not be adopted piecemeal. He was not against increasing the remuneration if it was lower than that obtaining in other Universities, but he must be assured that the government would be compensating the University adequately and that the finances of the University would not receive any sudden jolt. He assured Shri Teja Singh and Shri M. L. Anand that as soon as a clearer picture emerged, and finances permitted, he would take suitable steps in this direction.

RESOLVED : That the suggestion made by the Vice-Chancellor be accepted.

XIII. Item No. 22 on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e.—

22. That the proposal of the Education Secretary, Himachal Pradesh, for starting Evening Classes for M.A. (English, Economics, Hindi and Political Science) at the Regional Centre for Post-Graduate Studies at Simla, from the admissions of 1968, be accepted, subject to the condition that necessary funds would be made available by the University Grants Commission and Himachal Pradesh Government.

(Para 62, 27-11-1967)

Confirmed

SUJAN SINGH  
Registrar

Vice-Chancellor

## APPENDIX I

### STATEMENT A

The following syllabus has been approved by the Syndicate :—

- (i) Syllabus for the Diploma in Journalism Examination, 1969.

(Para 41, 27-11-1967)

## APPENDIX II

### STATEMENT B

Syndicate has granted leave and approved officiating arrangements :—

1. (i) Shri Zorawar Singh,  
Office Supdt.—

Privilege leave from 11-9-1967 to 10-11-1967, with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.

- (ii) Appointment of Shri Girdhari Lal, Assistant as officiating office Superintendent, w.e.f. the date he started work till further orders.

2. Shri K. V. Sharma,  
Lecturer-cum-Asstt. Editor, V.I.S. & I.S., Hoshiarpur—

- (i) Commuted leave from 29-7-1967 to 17-8-1967.

- (ii) Leave without pay from 18-8-1967 to 9-9-1967, instead of leave as under already granted to him :—

- (i) half pay leave from 29-7-1967 to 6-9-1967.

- (ii) Leave without pay from 7-9-1967 to 17-9-1967.

3. Shri V. S. Rastogi,  
Asst. Librarian—

Privilege leave from 4-9-1967 to 8-9-1967 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.

4. Shri Amar Nath Sharma,  
Office Superintendent.—

Privilege leave from 25-9-1967 to 27-9-1967 with permission to suffix holiday.

5. (i) Shri Atma Ram Bali,  
Office Supdt.—

Extension of privilege leave from 14-10-1967 to 30-10-1967.

- (ii) Appointment of Shri Atam Sarup Singh, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent w.e.f. the date he started work up to 30-10-1967.

6. Shri D. P. Verma,  
Ass't. Registrar—

Privilege leave from 5-9-1967 to 15-9-1967.

7. Prof. Prem Nath,  
Head of the Philosophy Department—

Duty leave from 27-11-1967 to 7-12-1967.

(Para 2, 27-11-1967)

### APPENDIX III

#### STATEMENT C

Extension of affiliation recommended by the Syndicate :

#### College

1. H. R. Mahila Maha Vidyalaya,  
Jullundur.

#### Course

Sociology for B.A. Course, with effect from the admissions of 1967.

(Para 64, 27-11-1967)

### PANJAB UNIVERSITY (CHANDIGARH)

#### IX

SENATE meeting held in the Senate Room, Panjab University Secretariat, Sector 14, Chandigarh, on Saturday, December 23, 1967.

#### MINUTES

#### PRESENT :—

1. Shri Suraj Bhan, *Vice-Chancellor (In the Chair)*
2. Shri Ajaib Singh
3. Prof. Abdul Majid Khan
4. Dr. B. R. Chauhan
5. Dr. B. Ghosh
6. Shri Bhan Singh Bhaura
7. Principal B. S. Bahl
8. Shri Brish Bhan
9. Principal Bishan Singh Samundri
10. Shri Chand Ram
11. Principal C. L. Arora
12. Dr. D. N. Shukla
13. Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill
14. Principal Gursewak Singh
15. Dr. Goverdhan Lal Bakshi
16. Shri Gurdial Singh Dhillon
17. Shri Gurbachan Singh Bajwa
18. Dr. G. P. Sharma
19. Shri G. L. Chopra
20. Prof. Gurdev Singh
21. Principal Harnam Singh
22. Shri Harbhajan Singh
23. Dr. Hazari Prasad Dwivedi
24. Shri J. C. Sharma
25. Principal Jagjit Singh
26. Lala Jagat Narain
27. Miss K. Pasricha
28. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura
29. Shri K. L. Sharma
30. Dr. L. S. Negi
31. Shri Manohar Lal Anand

32. Shri Man Singh Nirankari
33. Principal Mohan Singh
34. Principal Narain Dass Grover
35. Principal O. P. Mohan
36. Principal O. P. Sharma
37. Principal Pritam Singh
38. Shri Pyarc Lal Beri
39. Principal P. L. Anand
40. Shri P. L. Sondhi
41. Dr. P. N. Mehra
42. Principal Pradced Kumar
43. Mrs. R. L. Sethi
44. Dr. R. N. Safaya
45. Principal Ram Singh Sachdev
46. Shri Ram Chandra
47. Dr. Ram Chand Paul
48. Dr. Sher Singh Gyani
49. Principal S. S. Gill
50. Shri S. L. Dhall
51. Ch. Suraj Mal
52. Principal S. D. Vashisht
53. Shri Teja Singh
54. Shri Tek Chand
55. Principal Tara Singh
56. Dr. Vidya Sagar Puri
57. Miss Vidya Anand
58. Shri Virendra
59. Shri Sujan Singh, *Registrar*.

I. The Vice-Chancellor, referring to the recent deaths of Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan, Principal Hari Ram and Mons. Pierre Jeanneret, said that the month of December this year had dawned rather ominously for the University. In the passing away of Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan, one of the tallest stalwarts of the country, and one of the most eminent Panjabis, a void had been created which it would not be easy to fill. Mentioning the remark of an American author who had stated that Indians had a touching faith in the durability of Nehru, the Vice-Chancellor remarked that members of the Panjab University Senate had likewise, an abiding faith in Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan's wisdom and were so much attached to him emotionally that they believed that Dr. Mahajan was going to be with them for all time. Even now, it was hard to believe that Dr. Mahajan was no longer in our midst. He had come to Chandigarh on the 9th December, 1967, to join the University meetings, attended all of them, and it was in the last meeting that he felt unwell and retired. Dr. Mahajan had been struck with heart attack which proved fatal. He virtually died in harness, in the service of the University to which he was so devoted. Not only was he the seniormost Senator and Syndic, he was, in fact, the wisest among us. Almost all the Vice-Chancellors, before partition and after, leaned on Dr. Mahajan for advice. His qualities as a lawyer and jurist were too well-known. His first love was law and education came as a close second. After his retirement from the office of the Chief Justice of India, he made education his first love, and devoted himself to the Panjab University and to the D.A.V. institutions, being President of their managing committee. He was no longer with us, but he had left behind a tradition of rectitude, of personal purity and devotion to duty which would be an inspiration for all of us.

The Vice-Chancellor then referring to the death of Shri Hari Ram, Principal, D.A.V. Higher Secondary School, Chandigarh, said that a week after the passing away of Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan, another distinguished member of the Panjab University Senate passed away, on the same day and about the same hour and of the same affliction, i.e., heart attack. Principal Hari Ram

was a man of great devotion and dedication. He raised his institution to the highest peak of excellence. He was a member of the Senate and also the School Board and his contribution to the deliberations of the University were always outstanding. In his own field and at his own level, it would be difficult to replace Principal Hari Ram.

The Vice-Chancellor then referred to the demise of Mons. Pierre Jeanneret and said that he was the Chief Architect of Punjab Government and in that capacity had a great deal to do with the Panjab University. Mons. Pierre Jeanneret was an Architect of world renown and had left a lasting impress on the buildings of Chandigarh. He gave a sensitive touch to the buildings of the University. The Vice-Chancellor referred to Mons. Pierre Jeanneret's monumental work in the building up of Chandigarh, and the vision and imagination which he brought to bear on the designing of works at the University, which would serve as an inspiration to the coming generations of architects.

The Vice-Chancellor then concluded his remarks by saying "We mourn all these losses to this great seat of learning" and moved the following condolence resolutions which were adopted unanimously—all standing, and observing silence for two minutes in memory of the deceased :—

- (i) The Senate of the Panjab University place on record their sense of profound grief at the sudden demise of Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan, a leading member of the Syndicate and Senate of the Panjab University. That he should have been suddenly taken ill and passed away during his visit to Chandigarh for University meetings, adds to the poignancy of the feelings of all of us in this University.

Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan was intimately connected with the Panjab University not only as a member of the Senate and the Syndicate but also as a Dean of the Law Faculty and a member of practically all the high-powered committees set up by the Senate. These positions he held with signal distinction for more than three decades until the time of his death. His participation in the deliberations of these bodies was marked by complete objectivity and impartiality. He was one of the founder fathers of the Panjab University after the partition of the country in 1947. He made substantial contribution to the setting up and development of the uprooted University. He set such high traditions of integrity which few could emulate.

Dr. Mahajan had a dynamic personality which made its mark in many fields of human activity and endeavour. After a distinguished career at the Bar, he was appointed a Judge of the Lahore High Court. For some time after partition, he worked as Prime Minister of the State of Jammu and Kashmir and finally retired as Chief Justice of India. His judicial pronouncements were characteristic of the man—bold, erudite and transparently honest. Even after retirement, he was called upon to head or be a member of many committees and commissions of national importance; and he devoted himself with zeal to many worthwhile causes, chief among which was education.

Almost from the beginning of his career, he had taken a deep interest in the education of youth. The D.A.V. institutions in the country are a rich tribute to his personality. We shall not see his like again for a long time to come. May his soul rest in peace and may it show light to us.

- (ii) The Senate place on record their sense of grief at the sad and untimely demise of Shri Hari Ram, Principal, D.A.V. Higher Secondary School, Chandigarh.

Shri Hari Ram was a very distinguished educationist and the Principal of one of the premier educational institutions in northern India. He was recipient of the National Award for Teachers. He was intimately associated with the University as a Fellow for the last many years. He was also a member of the School Board and took keen interest in all matters affecting the well being of the University. In his death, his School has lost a head whom it would be hard to replace and the Panjab University an eminent educationist and a sagacious member of the Senate.

- (iii) The Panjab University deeply mourns the death of Mons. Pierre Jeanneret, Chief Architect & Town Planning Adviser to the Government of Punjab, and Architectural Adviser to the Panjab University.

Mons. Pierre Jeanneret came to India with Mons. Le-Corbusier to plan and design and also to supervise the works of Mons. Le-Corbusier.

He made an outstanding contribution to the planning of the city of Chandigarh and its architecture from the very inception of this project.

The campus of the Panjab University with its beautiful architecture is largely the result of his imaginative direction.

His passing away is an irreparable loss to the profession of architecture and town planning. His monumental contribution to the works in Chandigarh will inspire generations of architects and planners all over the world.

It was decided that copies of the condolence resolutions be sent to the bereaved families and the Press.

II. The Registrar read out item No. 2 on the agenda which was unanimously approved, i.e., :—

2. To consider recommendation of the Syndicate (in circulation) that the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be conferred upon :—

1. Shri Hari Kishan Gakhar—(Faculty of Science & Mathematics)
2. Shri Jatinder Mohan Julka—(Faculty of Science & Mathematics)
3. Shri Prem Sagar Chanana—(Faculty of Education)
4. Shri Avtar Singh—(Faculty of Arts)
5. Shri Bhagwan Singh—(Faculty of Agriculture).

Confirmed

SUJAN SINGH

Registrar

Vice-Chancellor